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- Students’ expectations of an IT degree - *majority of students are looking for “a job”*
- Academic ability - *students are generally academically able, but their abilities vary widely*
- Assumed ICT skills at entry - *word processing, internet, numeracy*
- How students can be better prepared - *communication, reading, writing skills; willingness to engage*
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SIG Group Members’ Preparation of Core Unit(s)

- A general process can be discerned;
- Too much workload and content;
- Diversity of different thinking styles, interests and disciplinary approaches affected subject design;
- Designing by committee leads to “lowest common denominator” result;
- Design and preparation process too hurried;
- Identify and emphasise process - more emphasis placed on thinking about how to assess teaching process.
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Fundamental perceptions about the role of university teaching

Although the university is focused on research and research students, the majority of our students will not head in that direction. Students enrol in IT because they hope it is going to be of interest to them, and because they have no experience that is usually all they can do - hope. They hope for career and job opportunities.
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Common Core Units are going to create problems because different student cohorts are not going to have the same interest in the subject. Students doing Information Systems at Caulfield are not going to be so interested in learning how to do programming in C. If they are forced into that situation they will not respond as well as they could academically if they apply themselves based on personal choice and interest.
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